## May 1, 2007

| Goal | Measure Category | Measure Category Evaluation | Measure | Jurisdiction Results |  |  | Provincial Results |  |  | Measure Evaluation |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Current <br> Result | Prev Year Result | Prev 3 yr Average | Current <br> Result | Prev Year Result | Prev 3 Yr <br> Average | Achievement | Improvement | Overall |
| Goal 1: High Quality Learning Opportunities for All | Safe and Caring Schools | Good | Safe and Caring | 86.0 | 86.3 | 84.5 | 84.2 | 84.4 | 82.7 | High | Improved | Good |
|  | Student Learning Opportunities | Acceptable | Program of Studies | 69.5 | 70.4 | 67.5 | 78.5 | 78.1 | 76.9 | Low | Maintained | Issue |
|  |  |  | Education Quality | 86.8 | 87.9 | 86.1 | 87.6 | 87.7 | 86.0 | Intermediate | Maintained | Acceptable |
|  |  |  | Drop Out Rate | 3.5 | 2.6 | 3.7 | 4.7 | 4.9 | 5.3 | High | Maintained | Good |
|  |  |  | High School Completion Rate (3 yr) | 79.1 | 77.6 | 80.6 | 70.4 | 70.4 | 69.1 | High | Maintained | Good |
| Goal 2: Excellence in Learner Outcomes | Student Learning <br> Achievement (Grades K-9) | Issue | PAT: Acceptable | 76.0 | 80.3 | 78.2 | 76.9 | 77.0 | 77.3 | Intermediate | Declined | Issue |
|  |  |  | PAT: Excellence | 17.9 | 18.4 | 17.5 | 19.1 | 19.4 | 19.4 | Intermediate | Maintained | Acceptable |
|  | Student Learning <br> Achievement (Grades 10-12) | Excellent | Diploma: Acceptable | 90.4 | 90.9 | 89.9 | 84.7 | 85.7 | 85.6 | Very High | Maintained | Excellent |
|  |  |  | Diploma: Excellence | 26.0 | 26.7 | 25.8 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 22.0 | Very High | Maintained | Excellent |
|  |  |  | Diploma Exam Participation Rate (4+ Exams) | 56.2 | 56.8 | 53.1 | 53.7 | 53.5 | 52.4 | High | Maintained | Good |
|  |  |  | Rutherford Scholarship Eligibility Rate | 43.2 | 40.4 | 39.0 | 37.2 | 35.3 | 33.9 | High | Improved | Good |
|  | Preparation for Lifelong Learning, World of Work, Citizenship | Good | Transition Rate (4 yr) | 48.6 | 32.5 | 37.7 | 39.5 | 37.0 | 34.6 | Very High | Improved Significantly | Excellent |
|  |  |  | Work Preparation | 78.8 | 73.5 | 75.9 | 77.1 | 77.0 | 74.7 | High | Improved | Good |
|  |  |  | Citizenship | 77.3 | 78.2 | 76.1 | 76.6 | 76.8 | 74.8 | Intermediate | Maintained | Acceptable |
| Goal 3: Highly Responsive and Responsible Jurisdiction (Ministry) | Parental Involvement | Good | Parental Involvement | 80.7 | 74.9 | 73.9 | 77.5 | 77.9 | 76.0 | High | Improved Significantly | Good |
|  | Continuous Improvement | Good | School Improvement | 75.2 | 73.9 | 71.5 | 76.3 | 76.8 | 73.2 | Intermediate | Improved Significantly | Good |
|  | Goal | Measure Category | Measure | Jurisdiction Results |  |  | Provincial Results |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Current Result | Prev Year Result | Prev 3 Yr Average | Current Result | Prev Year Result | Prev 3 Yr Average |  |  |  |
|  | ACOL measure | ACOL measure | Satisfaction with Program Access | 69.8 | 69.3 | n/a | 68.2 | 68.5 | n/a |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | In-service Jurisdiction Needs | 74.2 | 73.3 | n/a | 78.8 | 78.2 | n/a |  |  |  |

Notes:
 9), Français (Grades 6, 9).
2) Student Learning Achievement: Diploma Exam Values reported are averages of Diploma Acceptable and Diploma Excellence results, weighted by the number of students enrolled in each course.
3) Jurisdiction/Provincial Previous 3 year averages: Measures without enough data to calculate this average have been marked as ' $n / \mathrm{a}$ '.
4) Evaluations of improvement can only be calculated if there are 4 or more years of data. Overall evaluations can only be calculated if both improvement and achievement evaluations are available.
5) The ACOL measures are not evaluated as they are not part of the Accountability Pillar and are included only to enable inclusion in the AERR and 3 -Year Education Plan reports.
6) Data values have been suppressed where the number of respondents is less than 6.
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| Goal | Measure Category | Measure | Current Result | Previous Year Result | Previous 3 Year Average | Data Updated |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Goal 1: High Quality Learning Opportunities for All | Safe and Caring Schools | Safe and Caring | 2006/2007 | 2005/2006 | School Years 2003/2004, 2004/2005, 2005/2006 | April 23, 2007 |
|  | Student Learning Opportunities | Program of Studies | 2006/2007 | 2005/2006 | School Years 2003/2004, 2004/2005, 2005/2006 | April 23, 2007 |
|  |  | Education Quality | 2006/2007 | 2005/2006 | School Years 2003/2004, 2004/2005, 2005/2006 | April 23, 2007 |
|  |  | Drop Out Rate | 2005/2006 | 2004/2005 | School Years 2002/2003, 2003/2004, 2004/2005 | February 24, 2007 |
|  |  | High School Completion Rate (3 yr) | 2005/2006 | 2004/2005 | School Years 2002/2003, 2003/2004, 2004/2005 | February 24, 2007 |
| Goal 2: Excellence in Learner Outcomes | Student Learning Achievement (Grades K-9) | PAT: Acceptable | 2005/2006 | 2004/2005 | School Years 2002/2003, 2003/2004, 2004/2005 | March 31, 2007 |
|  |  | PAT: Excellence | 2005/2006 | 2004/2005 | School Years 2002/2003, 2003/2004, 2004/2005 | March 31, 2007 |
|  | Student Learning Achievement (Grades 10-12) | Diploma: Acceptable | 2005/2006 | 2004/2005 | School Years 2002/2003, 2003/2004, 2004/2005 | February 24, 2007 |
|  |  | Diploma: Excellence | 2005/2006 | 2004/2005 | School Years 2002/2003, 2003/2004, 2004/2005 | February 24, 2007 |
|  |  | Diploma Exam Participation Rate (4+ Exams) | 2005/2006 | 2004/2005 | School Years 2002/2003, 2003/2004, 2004/2005 | February 24, 2007 |
|  |  | Rutherford Scholarship Eligibility Rate | 2005/2006 | 2004/2005 | School Years 2002/2003, 2003/2004, 2004/2005 | January 27, 2007 |
|  | Preparation for Lifelong Learning, World of Work, Citizenship | Transition Rate (4 yr) | 2005/2006 | 2004/2005 | School Years 2002/2003, 2003/2004, 2004/2005 | February 24, 2007 |
|  |  | Work Preparation | 2006/2007 | 2005/2006 | School Years 2003/2004, 2004/2005, 2005/2006 | April 23, 2007 |
|  |  | Citizenship | 2006/2007 | 2005/2006 | School Years 2003/2004, 2004/2005, 2005/2006 | April 23, 2007 |
| Goal 3: Highly Responsive and Responsible Jurisdiction (Ministry) | Parental Involvement | Parental Involvement | 2006/2007 | 2005/2006 | School Years 2003/2004, 2004/2005, 2005/2006 | April 23, 2007 |
|  | Continuous Improvement | School Improvement | 2006/2007 | 2005/2006 | School Years 2003/2004, 2004/2005, 2005/2006 | April 23, 2007 |
| ACOL measure | ACOL measure | Satisfaction with Program Access | 2006/2007 | 2005/2006 | Three years of history is not yet available | April 23, 2007 |
|  |  | In-service Jurisdiction Needs | 2006/2007 | 2005/2006 | Three years of history is not yet available | April 23, 2007 |
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## Achievement Evaluation


 evaluation.
The table below shows the range of values defining the 5 achievement evaluation levels for each measure.

| Measure | Very Low | Low | Intermediate | High | Very High |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Safe and Caring | $0.00-77.62$ | $77.62-81.05$ | $81.05-84.50$ | $84.50-88.02$ | $88.02-100.00$ |
| Program of Studies | $0.00-66.31$ | $66.31-72.65$ | $72.65-78.42$ | $78.42-81.58$ | $81.58-100.00$ |
| Education Quality | $0.00-80.94$ | $80.94-84.23$ | $84.23-87.22$ | $87.22-89.60$ | $89.60-100.00$ |
| Drop Out Rate | $100.00-9.40$ | $9.40-6.90$ | $6.90-4.27$ | $4.27-2.79$ | $2.79-0.00$ |
| High School Completion Rate (3 yr) | $0.00-56.75$ | $56.75-62.66$ | $62.66-73.87$ | $73.87-81.47$ | $81.47-100.00$ |
| PAT: Acceptable | $0.00-64.03$ | $64.03-75.85$ | $75.85-83.54$ | $83.54-87.39$ | $87.39-100.00$ |
| PAT: Excellence | $0.00-10.76$ | $10.76-14.27$ | $14.27-20.37$ | $20.37-25.05$ | $25.05-100.00$ |
| Diploma: Acceptable | $0.00-71.88$ | $71.88-82.15$ | $82.15-87.66$ | $87.66-90.28$ | $90.28-100.00$ |
| Diploma: Excellence | $0.00-7.37$ | $7.37-15.75$ | $15.75-21.31$ | $21.31-24.53$ | $24.53-100.00$ |
| Diploma Exam Participation Rate (4+ Exams) | $0.00-31.10$ | $31.10-44.11$ | $44.11-55.78$ | $55.78-65.99$ | $65.99-100.00$ |
| Rutherford Scholarship Eligibility Rate | $0.00-20.69$ | $20.69-26.29$ | $26.29-33.96$ | $33.96-46.47$ | $46.47-100.00$ |
| Transition Rate (4 yr) | $0.00-23.87$ | $23.87-27.97$ | $27.97-37.39$ | $37.39-41.77$ | $41.77-100.00$ |
| Work Preparation | $0.00-66.92$ | $66.92-72.78$ | $72.78-77.78$ | $77.78-86.13$ | $86.13-100.00$ |
| Citizenship | $0.00-66.30$ | $66.30-71.63$ | $71.63-77.50$ | $77.50-81.08$ | $81.08-100.00$ |
| Parental Involvement | $0.00-70.76$ | $70.76-74.58$ | $74.58-78.50$ | $78.50-82.30$ | $82.30-100.00$ |
| School Improvement | $0.00-65.25$ | $65.25-70.85$ | $70.85-76.28$ | $76.28-80.41$ | $80.41-100.00$ |

Notes:
 value to $100 \%$.
 Very High category, values range from $0 \%$ to less than or equal to the "High" value.
3) Survey measures are not evaluated in May 2006, as there is not enough data, however, it will be evaluated in using the same methodology in May 2007.
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## Improvement Table

For each jurisdiction, improvement evaluation consists of comparing the Current Year result for each measure with the previous three-year average. A chi-square statistical test is used to determine the significance of the improvement. This test takes into account the size of the jurisdiction in the calculation to make improvement evaluation fair across jurisdictions of different sizes. The table below shows the definition of the 5 improvement evaluation levels based upon the chi-square result.

| Evaluation Category | Chi-Square Range |
| :--- | :--- |
| Declined Significantly | $3.84+$ (current < previous 3-year average) |
| Declined | $1.00-3.83$ (current < previous 3-year average) |
| Maintained | less than 1.00 |
| Improved | $1.00-3.83$ (current > previous 3-year average) |
| Improved Significantly | $3.84+$ (current > previous 3-year average) |

## Overall Evaluation Table

The overall evaluation combines the Achievement Evaluation and the Improvement Evaluation. The table below illustrates how the Achievement and Improvement evaluations are combined to get the overall evaluation.

|  |  | Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Improvement | Very High | High | Intermediate | Low | Very Low |  |
| Improved Significantly | Excellent | Good | Good | Good | Acceptable |  |  |
| Improved | Excellent | Good | Good | Acceptable | Issue |  |  |
| Maintained | Excellent | Good | Acceptable | Issue | Issue |  |  |
| Declined | Good | Acceptable | Issue | Issue | Concern |  |  |
| Declined Significantly | Acceptable | Issue | Issue | Concern | Concern |  |  |

## Category Evaluation

The category evaluation is an average of the Overall Evaluation of the measures that make up the category. For the purpose of the calculation, consider an Overall Evaluation of Excellent to be 2 , Good to be 1 , Intermediate to be 0 , Issue to be -1 , and Concern to be -2 . The simple average (mean) of these values rounded to the nearest integer produces the Category Evaluation value. This is converted back to a colour using the same scale above (e.g. $2=$ Excellent, $1=$ Good, $0=$ Intermediate, $-1=$ Issue, $-2=$ Concern).

